1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		NETDICT COUDT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SANTA AMALIA ALVAREZ, et al.,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00996-AWI-SAB
10	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO
11	v.	SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDER
12	JOHNSON & JOHNSON, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	(ECF No. 5)
14		RESPONSE DUE: AUGUST 7, 2017
15		
16	This action was filed on July 27, 2017, and on July 28, 2017, the complaint was stricken	
17	from the record for being unsigned. Plaintiff was ordered to file a signed complaint by close of	
18	business on July 31, 2017. Plaintiff has not filed a signed complaint or otherwise responded to	
19	the July 28, 2017 order.	
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall show cause in writing on or	
21	before August 7, 2017, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with the	
22	July 28, 2017 order.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Sund S Po
25	Dated:August 2, 2017	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		UNITED STATES WAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		