

and recommendations that Plaintiff's motion for default judgment be granted. The Court also directed Plaintiff to serve Defendants with a copy of the findings and recommendations by mail. Doc. No. 13.

On February 7, 2018, Defendant Aquayo filed objections to the findings and recommendations. Defendant Aquayo explains that the business at issue is not profitable. When she received the case and saw the amounts being charged against her, she did not know how to act or react. Defendant Aquayo further explains that she has not learned English and she had her daughter translate the papers, but was given the wrong court date. She has been to court two times on this matter, but learned that it had been continued and there would not be a hearing. She further explains that Defendant Chavira is not her husband, but is a friend that voluntarily helped her with the business. Defendant Aquayo asks for reconsideration of her case and time to fix what is needed at the store to accommodate disabled individuals. Doc. 15.

The Court construes the objections as a motion by Defendant Aquayo, appearing *pro se*, to set aside the Clerk's entry of default and to vacate the findings and recommendations, and an answer to the Complaint. Accordingly, Plaintiff will be allowed an opportunity to respond to Defendant Aquayo's filing. *See Franchise Holding II, LLC v. Huntington Rests. Group, Inc.*, 375 F.3d 922, 926 (9th Cir. 2004).

Defendant Aquayo is ADVISED that although she has the right to appear on her own behalf in this action, she has no authority to appear as an attorney for Defendant Jose A. Chavira. *See Johns v. County of San Diego*, 114 F.3d 874, 876 (9th Cir. 1997) (non-attorney proceeding pro se may bring his own claims to court, but has no authority to appear as an attorney for others).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- Defendant Aquayo's objections filed on February 7, 2018 are CONSTRUED as a
 Motion to Set Aside the Clerk's Entry of Default and to Vacate the Findings and
 Recommendations Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment and an answer to
 the Complaint;
- 2. Plaintiff's opposition to the Motion to Set Aside the Clerk's Entry of Default and to Vacate the Findings and Recommendations Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment is due on or before **March 23, 2018**; and

3. Plaintiff is ordered to serve a copy of this ORDER and his response to the Motion to Set Aside the Clerk's Entry of Default and to Vacate the Findings and Recommendations Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment on Defendant Maria De Jesus Aquayo and Defendant Jose Chavira at 1419 S. Highland Avenue, Sanger, California 93657.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 5, 2018 /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE