1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MARK MILLER,	Case No. 1:17-cv-01055-SAB-HC	
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	V.		
14	BRANDON PRICE,		
15	Respondent.		
16		1	
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus		
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.		
19	When a state prisoner files a habeas petition in a state that contains two or more federal		
20	judicial districts, the petition may be filed in either the judicial district in which the petitioner is		
21	presently confined or the judicial district in which he was convicted and sentenced. See 28		
22	U.S.C. § 2241(d); Rumsfeld v. Padilla, 542 U.S. 426, 442 (2004) (quoting Carbo v. United		
23	States, 364 U.S. 611, 618 (1961)). Petitions challenging the execution of a sentence are		
24	preferably heard in the district where the inmate is confined. See Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d		
25	244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). Petitions challenging convictions or sentences are preferably heard in		
26	the district of conviction. See Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). Section		
27	2241 further states that, rather than dismissing an improperly filed action, a district court, "in the		
28	exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice[,] may transfer" the habeas petition to		

another federal district for hearing and determination. <u>Id.</u>; <u>see also</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) (court
 may transfer any civil action "to any other district or division where it might have been brought"
 for convenience of parties or "in the interest of justice").

Here, Petitioner's claims appear to relate to his conviction and sentence that occurred in
the Orange County Superior Court. Therefore, venue is proper in the district of conviction, which
is the Central District of California. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is
transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

8	
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10 Dated: August 14, 2017	
UNITED STATES MAGISTRA 11	ATE JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	