1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 CASE NO. 1:17-cv-01105-AWI-SKO UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 5 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY Plaintiff, 6 NDER OF ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED WITH VS. 7 **PREJUDICE** 8 DAVID J. EDWARDS, ET AL., 9 Defendant. 10 11 12 On March 5, 2020, the Court issued a stipulated order dismissing the Sixth Claim for 13 Relief in this action. Doc. No. 65. There has been no docketed activity in this case in the three 14 vears since. 15 Plaintiff United States of America is, therefore, ORDERED to show in writing, within 10 16 calendar days of the date of electronic service of this order, why the remainder of this action 17 should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. 18 If the United States fails to make that showing, or otherwise provide a meaningful response 19 to this order within the period of time specified above, the remainder of this action will be 20 DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and this action will be CLOSED without further notice to the 21 parties. See Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423-24 (9th Cir. 1986) (affirming dismissal 22 with prejudice for lack of prosecution). 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. Il alii 24 Dated: <u>March 6, 2023</u> 25 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE 26

27

28