

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SANDY G. SELF,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:17-cv-01107-SKO

v.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED
FOR FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT
ORDER

FCA US LLC,

Defendant.

_____ /

On January 9, 2019, the Court conducted a pretrial conference in this case. (Doc. 67.) On January 10, 2019, the Court issued its Pretrial Order. (Doc. 68.) In that Order, the parties were required to submit to the Clerk’s office four copies of pre-marked documentary trial exhibits by February 4, 2019. (*See id.* at 14.) The Order further instructed that “[j]oint exhibits should be marked starting with ‘J-1,’ continuing with ‘J-2,’ ‘J-3,’ ‘J-4,’ etc.; Plaintiff’s exhibits should be marked starting with ‘P-201,’ continuing with ‘P-202,’ ‘P-203,’ ‘P-204,’ etc.; and Defendant’s exhibits should be marked starting with ‘D-501,’ continuing with ‘D-502,’ ‘D-503,’ ‘D-504,’ etc.” (*See id.* at 14 n.2.)

The parties have unquestionably failed to comply with these directives. Defendant has submitted *no* exhibits. The parties’ joint exhibits, of which only *one copy* was received, are not marked according to the scheme set forth in the Order and are instead improperly commingled with Plaintiff’s exhibits. The *sole copy* of Plaintiff’s exhibits received by the Court demonstrates that they are similarly not marked as ordered and include numerous documents not included on the

