1		
2		
-3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAMON NEWQUIST,	No. 1:17-cv-01150-NONE-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	V.	RECOMMENDATIONS
14	SOA; CHEN,	(Doc. Nos. 40, 42)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Damon Newquist is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
18	this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States	
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On December 3, 2019, the assigned magistrate judge issued an order granting the	
21	defendants' motion to compel plaintiff's deposition and responses to defendants' written	
22	discovery requests. (Doc. No. 39.) The magistrate judge also ordered plaintiff to show cause in	
23	writing, within 21 days, why this action should not be dismissed due to his failure to prosecute.	
24	(Id.) Plaintiff failed to respond to defendants' discovery requests, appear for his deposition, or	
25	respond to the court's order to show cause as required. Accordingly, on December 31, 2019, the	
26	defendants filed a motion to dismiss this action with prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to	
27	prosecute this action. (Doc. No. 40.)	
28	////	

1	On February 4, 2020, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,	
2	recommending that defendants' motion to dismiss be granted and this action dismissed with	
3	prejudice. (Doc. No. 42.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and	
4	contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within 14 days of service. (Id. at 2.)	
5	Plaintiff has not filed objections and the time do so has passed.	
6	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a	
7	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings	
8	and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.	
9	Accordingly,	
10	1. The findings and recommendations issued on February 4, 2020 (Doc. No. 42) are	
11	adopted in full;	
12	2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 40) is granted;	
13	3. This action is dismissed with prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute and	
14	obey court orders; and,	
15	4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: March 4, 2020 Dale A. Dryd	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	