ĺ	II		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DIS	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	GOLDEN GATE SALMON ASSOCIATION, ET AL.,	Case No. 1:17-CV-01172 LJO-EPG	
13		ORDER GRANTING WITH CONDITIONS STATE WATER	
14	, ·	CONTRACTORS' AND METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT	
15		OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA'S (ECF NO. 7) AND CALIFORNIA	
16	ı İ	DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' (ECF NO. 28) MOTIONS	
17	ר	TO INTERVENE	
18			
19	The Court has received and reviewed the motions to intervene filed by the State Water		
20	Contractors and the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (ECF No. 7) and the California		
21		artment of Water Resources (ECF No. 28), as well as all responses and replies. ECF Nos. 13, 17, 18	
22	& 32.		
23	For good cause shown, both motions to intervene as of right as defendants in this action are		
24	GRANTED subject to the condition that Defendant-Intervenors make every effort to avoid duplicative		
25			
26	this order.		
	1		

Plaintiffs' separate request to limit Defendant-Intervenors' briefs or memoranda to ten pages, in the case of motions and responses, and seven pages, in the case of replies, is DENIED. The request is unwarranted by the circumstances and unsupported by the relevant authorities that require intervenors be placed on an "equal footing" with other litigants. See Citizens for Balanced Use v. Montana Wilderness Ass'n, 647 F.3d 893, 901 (9th Cir. 2011). In related cases, the Court has set page limits for every major round of motions in advance during the scheduling process. Plaintiffs' concerns regarding the speedy resolution of this case and the burden of overlength and/or duplicative briefing may be raised at that time. The Court takes this opportunity to note, however, that the increasingly long page limits being requested in both non-dispositive and dispositive motions in related cases makes efficient resolution of disputes extremely difficult. Going forward, the Court is inclined to be more restrictive with page limits overall and will not hesitate to strike duplicative briefing. The parties should consider this when proposing page limits during the scheduling process. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill Dated: **October 16, 2017** UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE