

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7
8 ATHAN HICKS, et al.,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, et al.,

12 Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-01187-LJO-SAB

ORDER DISREGARDING ECF No. 5,
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT

13
14 On October 12, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint. (ECF No. 4.) On
15 October 13, 2017, Plaintiffs filed another copy of the first amended complaint. (ECF No. 5.) As
16 the two first amended complaints are identical, the Court will disregard ECF No. 5. The Court
17 notes that Plaintiffs' first amended complaint contains two different spellings of Ms. Hicks's
18 name. If necessary, Plaintiffs should request to change the spelling of Ms. Hick's name on the
19 docket. Also, the Court notes that Plaintiffs have named Christopher Gallo as a defendant in the
20 caption, but Joseph Gallo as a defendant in the body of the first amended complaint.

21 Based upon the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that ECF No. 5, Plaintiff's first
22 amended complaint, is DISREGARDED.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: October 16, 2017

25 
26 _____
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28