UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWINA DOTSON,

v.

**CASE NO. 1:17-CV-1199 AWI BAM** 

LD WITH BOTSON

ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS

(Doc. No. 14)

DARREL ADAMS, Warden at CCWF, et al..

**Defendants** 

**Plaintiff** 

Currently pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint. See Doc. No. 14. However, on October 30, 2018, pursuant to a stipulation between the parties that was signed by the Magistrate Judge, see Doc. No. 19, Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint. See Doc. No. 20.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 governs amended pleadings. In pertinent part, Rule 15 reads: "In all other cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). A properly filed "amended complaint supersedes the original [complaint], the latter being treated thereafter as non-existent." Ramirez v. County of San Bernardino, 806 F.3d 1002, 1008 (9th Cir. 2015); Loux v. Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). That is, "the original pleading no longer performs any function." Ramirez, 806 F.3d at 1008.

Here, Plaintiff's amended complaint complies with Rule 15(a)(2). Therefore, Plaintiff's

first amended complaint is operative and the original complaint is "non-existent." Ramirez, 806

F.3d at 1008. Because Defendants' pending motion now attacks a complaint that is no longer

operative, the Court is required to deny the motion to dismiss as moot. See id. ("Because the Defendants' motion to dismiss targeted the Plaitniff's First Amended Complaint, which was no longer in effect, we conclude that the motion to dismiss should have been deemed moot before the district court granted it."). **ORDER** Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 14) is DENIED as MOOT; and 2. Defendants may file an answer or other response to the First Amended Complaint within twenty (20) days of service of this order. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>November 1, 2018</u> SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE