1	Robert L. Baumann, SBN 261665	
2	rbaumann@adamsferrone.com Adams, Ferrone and Ferrone APC	
3	4333 Park Terrace Drive, Suite 200 Westlake Village, CA 91361	
4	Westlake Village, CA 91361 Telephone: 805-373-5900 Facsimile: 818-874-1382	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, BRYAN ROSSY, et al.	
6	DR I AN ROSS I, et al.	
7	Scott J. Grossberg (Bar No. 123359) sgrossberg@grossberghoehn.com	
8	Laurel A. Hoehn (Bar No. 232946) hoehn@grossberghoehn.com	
9	GROSSBERG & HOEHN 1026 W. Foothill Blvd.	
10	Upland, CA 91786 (909) 483-1850/Phone	
11	(909) 483-1840/Fax	
12	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF BISHOP, et al.	
13		
14		
14		DISTRICT COURT
14 15		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO
15 16 17	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs.	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB
15 16 17 18	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs.	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING
15 16 17 18 19	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs.	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING
15 16 17 18 19 20	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs.	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs. CITY OF BISHOP, a public agency and/or municipal corporation; CHRIS CARTER, individually and as Police Chief; TED STEC, individually and as Police Chief; JIM TATUM, individually; PAT GARDNER, individually; and DOES 1 THROUGH	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs. CITY OF BISHOP, a public agency and/or municipal corporation; CHRIS CARTER, individually and as Police Chief; TED STEC, individually and as Police Chief; JIM TATUM, individually; PAT GARDNER, individually; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs. CITY OF BISHOP, a public agency and/or municipal corporation; CHRIS CARTER, individually and as Police Chief; TED STEC, individually and as Police Chief; JIM TATUM, individually; PAT GARDNER, individually; and DOES 1 THROUGH	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs. CITY OF BISHOP, a public agency and/or municipal corporation; CHRIS CARTER, individually and as Police Chief; TED STEC, individually and as Police Chief; JIM TATUM, individually; PAT GARDNER, individually; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs. CITY OF BISHOP, a public agency and/or municipal corporation; CHRIS CARTER, individually and as Police Chief; TED STEC, individually and as Police Chief; JIM TATUM, individually; PAT GARDNER, individually; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	EASTERN DISTRIC BRYAN ROSSY, MARK GUTIERREZ, JARED WAASDORP, and DOUGLAS MAIRS, Plaintiffs, vs. CITY OF BISHOP, a public agency and/or municipal corporation; CHRIS CARTER, individually and as Police Chief; TED STEC, individually and as Police Chief; JIM TATUM, individually; PAT GARDNER, individually; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 1:17-cv-01244-LJO-SAB JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING

Plaintiffs Bryan Rossy, et al. (collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendants City of Bishop, et al. (collectively "Defendants") by and through their counsel of record, hereby request a continuance of the Scheduling Conference.

On September 18, 2017, the Court issued an order setting a Scheduling Conference on December 4, 2017 at 2:15 p.m., which was subsequently continued after Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Continue the Scheduling Conference on November 22, 2017. The Court granted the motion on November 27, 2017, continuing the Scheduling Conference to February 6, 2018 at 3:30 p.m. On December 4, 2017 Defendants filed a Notice of Motion setting a Motion to Dismiss for January 29, 2018 at 8:30 a.m., which was subsequently continued after the Parties filed a Joint Stipulation to Continue on January 5, 2018. The Court issued an order on January 11, 2018 continuing the motion to February 20, 2017 at 8:30 a.m.

According to page 7:1-11 of the court's order setting the scheduling conference: "[...] the Court is aware that in some situations the case is not procedurally in the posture for the Scheduling Conference to be held on the date initially set. Such situations include, but are not limited to, those in which all defendants have not yet been served or have not filed an answer to the complaint, the defendant has defaulted, or where there is a pending motion to dismiss." The Defendant's motion to dismiss will still be pending as Defendant's opposition is now due on February 6, 2018, the day of the continued Scheduling Conference.

Thus, both parties by and through their respective counsel, have agreed, and
hereby request, that the Court continue the Scheduling Conference on February 6,
2018 to after the Motion to Dismiss is heard.

 25
 ///

 26
 ///

 27
 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28 ////

2

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED	
2		
3	Dated: January 17, 2018ADAMS FERRONE & FERRONE	
4		
5	By: /s/ Robert L. Baumann	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,	
7	BRYAN ROSSY, et al.	
8		
9	Dated: January 17, 2018 GROSSBERG & HOEHN	
10	By: /s/	
11	Scott J. Grossberg	
12	Laurel A. Hoehn	
13	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF BISHOP, et al.	
14	ORDER	
15	After review of all papers and pleadings filed in connection with the	
16	motion, good cause appearing, the Court hereby accepts the Stipulation by the	
17	Parties and the Scheduling Conference is hereby continued from February 6, 2018	
18	to April 24, 2018 at 3:00 PM in Courtroom 9. A Joint Scheduling Conference	
19	Report is due one week before the new conference date.	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: January 17, 2018	
23	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
20		