Ward et al v. Amazon et al

Doc. 23

Plaintiffs CHRISTOPHER WARD and LINDA QUINTEROS ("Plaintiffs") on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated employees of Defendant GOLDEN STATE FC, LLC ("Defendant") (collectively, "the Parties"), and Defendant, by and through their respective undersigned counsel of record, in order to allow the Parties to respond to discovery expeditiously while limiting discovery costs, hereby stipulate for an order pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) that states:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), neither the attorney-client privilege nor the work product protection is waived by disclosure of such information in this litigation. The production of privileged or work product protected documents, whether in electronically stored information or other materials, is not a waiver of the privilege or protection in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. If any privileged or work product protected documents are produced, the party receiving produced documents ("Receiving Party") shall, at the request of the party producing those documents ("Producing Party"), promptly return such documents (and all copies thereof), including all later created excerpts, summaries, compilations, and other documents or records that include, communicate, or reveal the information claimed to be privileged or protected. A Receiving Party who receives a document that it knows or reasonably should know is privileged shall notify the Producing Party within 3 business days of discovery of the document.

Nothing in this Order overrides any attorney's ethical responsibilities to refrain from examining or disclosing materials that the attorney knows or reasonably should know to be privileged and to inform the Producing Party that such materials have been produced.

Nothing in this Order is intended to or shall serve to limit a party's right to conduct a review of documents, ESI or information (including metadata) for relevance, responsiveness and/or segregation of privileged and/or protected information before production.

The provisions of Federal Rule of Evidence 502(b)(2) are inapplicable to the production of protected information under this Order.

This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d).

1	The obligations imposed by this Rule 502(d) Order shall survive the termination of this	
2	action.	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.	
4	DATED: March 7, 2018	DAVID YEREMIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
5		
6		By _/s/ Alvin B. Lindsay
7		David Yeremian Alvin B. Lindsay
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs CHRISTOPHER WARD and
9		LINDA QUINTEROS and the putative class
10	D. TED. M. 1. 7. 2010	
11	DATED: March 7, 2018	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
12		
13	I	By <u>/s/ Joel M. Purles</u> Barbara J. Miller
14		Roberta H. Kuehne Joel M. Purles
15		Attorneys for Defendant GOLDEN STATE FC, LLC
16		
17	I attest that Alvin B. Lindsay has concurred in the filing of this document.	
18		
19	Dated: March 7, 2018	/s/ Joel M. Purles Joel M. Purles
20		Joer W. I unes
21	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	D. J. Marrels O. 0040	1-1 M. l l C C
25	Dated: <u>March 8, 2018</u>	<u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u> united states magistrate judge
26		OTTILE OTTILE WITGIOTIVITE VODGE
27		