1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
7 8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	JOSEPH McCOY,	Case No. 1:17-cv-01315-SAB
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION
11	V.	SHOW CAUSE WITT THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
12	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	SEVEN DAY DEADLINE
13	Defendant.	SEVEN DAT DEADEINE
14		]
15	On September 29, 2017, Plaintiff Joseph McCoy filed the present action in this	

On September 29, 2017, Plaintiff Joseph McCoy filed the present action in this court seeking review of the Commissioner's denial of an application for benefits. On October 3, 2017, the Court issued a scheduling order. (ECF No. 5). The scheduling order states that within 95 days from the filing of the administrative record, Plaintiff shall file an opening brief. Defendant lodged the Social Security administrative record on February 1, 2018. (ECF No. 10.) Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, on May 2, 2018, Plaintiff received an extension of time to file his opening brief and it was due on or before June 4, 2018. (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff has not filed an opening brief in compliance with the May 2, 2018 order nor sought an extension of time to do so.

Local Rule 110 provides that "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." The Court has the inherent power to control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal of the action. <u>Bautista v. Los Angeles County</u>, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000).

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file a written response to	
2	this order to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute within	
3	seven (7) days of the date of service of this order. Failure to comply with this order to show	
4	cause shall result in this action being dismissed for failure to prosecute.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: June 5, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	