UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERIC M. WILLIAMS, Case No. 1:17-cv-01332-AWI-EPG (PC) Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A v. TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER T. AMAY, et al., (ECF Nos. 13, 27) Defendants.

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on Plaintiff's claims of deliberate indifference to serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment and for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment against Defendants, Dr. Teresita Amay, Dr. Navdeep Baath, and Dr. Bunn. Plaintiff alleges, among other things, that Defendants denied him Wellbutrin even though he has a medical need for the medication, and that they denied him Wellbutrin in retaliation for his verbal conduct of asserting his need for Wellbutrin and asserting his rights under prison regulations. (ECF No. 1.)

On February 1, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting a temporary restraining order. ECF No. 13.) Plaintiff contends in his motion that his prescription for Wellbutrin expired on February 15, 2017, and requests the Court direct the California Department of Corrections and

1	Rehabilitation ("CDCR") to immediately renew his prescription for Wellbutrin. (Id.) Defendants
2	oppose the motion. (ECF Nos. 19, 25, 26.)
3	On February 27, 2018, the Court directed Defendants to file their response to Plaintiff's
4	notion for a temporary restraining order. (ECF No. 15.) On March 20, 2018, Defendants filed their
5	opposition to Plaintiff's motion. (ECF No. 19.)
6	On August 29, 2018, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and Recommendations
7	recommending that Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order be denied. (ECF No. 27.)
8	The Findings and Recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that objections
9	were to be filed within fourteen days. (Id. at 6-7.) More than fourteen days have passed, and no
10	objections were filed.
11	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a
12	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings
13	and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.
14	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
15	1. The Findings and Recommendations entered on August 29, 2018 (ECF No. 27) are
16	ADOPTED in full; and
17	2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order, filed on February 1, 2018 (ECF
18	No. 13) is DENIED.
19	
20	TT IS SO ORDERED.
21	Dated: September 26, 2018 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	