	I and the second	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DEVONTE B. HARRIS,) Case No. 1:17-cv-01370-DAD-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER FOR PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE
13	v.	WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
14	T. QUILLEN, et al.,) (ECF No. 97)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Devonte B. Harris is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding against Defendants Alvarado, Carranza-Rico,	
19	Hurtado, Perez and Quillen for excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment. This matter is	
20	set for a telephonic trial confirmation hearing on April 11, 2022, at 1:30 p.m. before District Judge	
21	Dale A. Drozd.	
22	On September 29, 2021, the Court issued a second scheduling order directing Plaintiff to file,	
23	among other things, his pretrial statement on or before February 4, 2022. (ECF No. 97.) The deadline	
24	for Plaintiff's pretrial statement has expired, and Plaintiff has failed to comply with the Court's	
25	scheduling order or to otherwise communicate with the Court.	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	I and the second	

Accordingly, Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to show cause by written response why this action should not be dismissed for failure to obey the court's order and for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff's response is due within twenty (20) days from the date of service of this order. If Plaintiff fails to file a response, or the response does not demonstrate good cause, the undersigned will recommend dismissal of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **February 15, 2022**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE