

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JONATHAN GRIGSBY,
Plaintiff,
v.
M. HERNANDEZ,
Defendant.

Case No. 1:17-cv-001384-DAD-JLT (PC)
**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE
AN OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NO
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT’S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
14-DAY DEADLINE

On January 12, 2021, Defendant filed a motion for summary judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. (Doc. 61). Local Rule 260(l) provides:

Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be served and filed by the responding party not more than twenty-one (21) days after the date of service of the motion. A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in question. Failure of the responding party to file an opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

L.R. 260(l).

More than twenty-one days have passed since service of the motion for summary judgment, and Plaintiff has not filed a response. Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to file, within **14 days**, an opposition or statement of no opposition to Defendant’s motion for summary judgment. (Doc. 61). **Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation for**

1 the Court to grant Defendant's motion for summary judgment as unopposed or the
2 imposition of sanctions for failure to comply with a court order. *See* L.R. 110.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: April 13, 2021

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28