1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	JOSHUA HECKATHORN,	Case No.: 1:17-cv-01416-AWI - JLT
11	Plaintiff,	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
12	v. )	Pleading Amendment Deadline: 10/1/2018
13	KIM HOLLAND, et al.,	Discovery Deadlines:
14	Defendants.	Initial Disclosures: 7/1/2018
15	)	Non-Expert: 5/10/2019 Expert: 6/5/2019
16		Mid-Discovery Status Conference:
17		11/16/2018 at 8:30 a.m.
18		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
19		Filing: 6/19/2019 Hearing: 7/17/2019
20		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
		Filing: 8/5/2019
21		Hearing: 9/16/2019
22		Pre-Trial Conference:
23		11/8/2019 at 10:00 a.m.
24		Courtroom 2
25		Trial: 1/7/2020 at 8:30 a.m.
26		Courtroom 2 Jury trial: 10 days
27	I. <u>Date of Scheduling Conference</u>	•
28		
40	June 4, 2018.	

# II. Appearances of Counsel

Kresta Daly appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.

Peter Hirsig appeared on behalf of Defendant S. Zarris.

Janine Jeffrey appeared on behalf of Defendant R. Ruvalcaba.

Harry Stern appeared on behalf of Defendants Ruiz, Perez, Morse and Foster.

#### **III.** Information Concerning the Court's Schedule

Out of fairness, the Court believes it is necessary to forewarn litigants that the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California now has the heaviest District Court Judge caseload in the entire nation. While the Court will use its best efforts to resolve this case and all other civil cases in a timely manner, the parties are admonished that not all of the parties' needs and expectations may be met as expeditiously as desired. As multiple trials are now being set to begin upon the same date, parties may find their case trailing with little notice before the trial begins. The law requires that the Court give any criminal trial priority over civil trials or any other matter. The Court must proceed with a criminal trial even if a civil trial was filed earlier and set for trial first. Continuances of any civil trial under these circumstances will no longer be entertained, absent a specific and stated finding of good cause. All parties should be informed that any civil trial set to begin during the time a criminal trial is proceeding will trail the completion of the criminal trial.

The parties are reminded of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. The same jury pool is used by both United States Magistrate Judges and United States District Court Judges. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit. The parties are informed that no substantive rulings or decisions will be affected by whether a party chooses to consent.

Finally, the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the Local Rules, Appendix A, reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern

District of California.

Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial. Within 10 days of the date of this order, counsel SHALL file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

# IV. Pleading Amendment Deadline

Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or motion to amend, no later than **October 2, 2018**.

### V. <u>Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date</u>

The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **July 1, 2018**.

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **May 10, 2019**, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **June 5, 2019**.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses<sup>1</sup>, in writing, on or before **April 1, 2019**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **May 8, 2019**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall **be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder**. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **November 16, 2018** at 8:30 a.m. before the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health evaluation, the examination SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's report fully details the expert's opinions in this regard.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via teleconference by dialing (888) 557-8511 and entering Access Code 1652736, provided the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

# VI. <u>Pre-Trial Motion Schedule</u>

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than **June 19, 2019**, and heard on or before **July 17, 2019**. Non-dispositive motions are heard at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse located at 510 19<sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, California.

No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar.

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion *must* comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via teleconference by dialing (888) 557-8511 and entering Access Code 1652736, provided the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically no later than five court days before the

noticed hearing date.

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **August 5, 2019**, and heard no later than **September 16, 2019**, in Courtroom 2 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, <u>counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56</u> and Local Rules 230 and 260.

#### VII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts <u>at least five days before</u> the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.** 

### VIII. <u>Pre-Trial Conference Date</u>

November 8, 2019, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before Judge Ishii.

The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2)**. The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge Ishii's chambers, by email at AWIOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the

Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.			
The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the			
Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the			
Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.			
IX.	IX. <u>Trial Date</u>		
January 7, 2020, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, Unite			
States District Court Judge.			
	A. This is a jury trial.		
	B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 10 days.		
	C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of		
California, Rule 285.			
X.	Settlement Conference		
	If the parties believe the action is in a settlement posture and a conference with the Court		
would be fruitful, the parties may file a joint written request for a settlement conference.			
Alternatively, the parties may file a joint written request for referral to the Court's Voluntary Dispute			
Resolution Program.			
Notwithstanding the requirements of Local Rule 270(b), the settlement conference will be			
conducted by Magistrate Judge Thurston. <u>If any party prefers that the settlement conference be</u>			
conducted by a judicial officer who is not assigned to this case, the joint request for a conference			
should note this and the conference will be set before a different Magistrate Judge.			
XI.	Requests for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other		
	Techniques to Shorten Trial		
	Not applicable at this time.		
XII.	Related Matters Pending		
	There are no pending related matters.		
XIII	Compliance with Federal Procedure		

and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

#### XIV. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 4, 2018 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE