

1 8, 10, 11), plaintiff did so on February 8, 2018. (Doc. No. 12.)

2 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), the undersigned has
3 conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including
4 plaintiff's objections, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the
5 record and by proper analysis.

6 In his objections, plaintiff contends that he is in imminent danger of serious physical
7 injury, which would permit him to proceed *in forma pauperis* despite having three strikes against
8 him. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). In support of this argument, however, plaintiff merely restates the
9 allegations of his complaint that he is suffering from a bleeding nose, loss of appetite, and fatigue.
10 (Doc. No. 1 at 4; Doc. No. 12 at 2.) The assigned magistrate judge previously found that these
11 alleged conditions, even if true, do not rise to the level of imminent danger. (Doc. No. 6 at 2.)
12 The undersigned concurs with this analysis.

13 Accordingly,

- 14 1. The findings and recommendations issued October 30, 2017 (Doc. No. 6) are
15 adopted in full;
- 16 2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 2) is denied;
- 17 3. Within twenty-one days from the date of service of this order, plaintiff is required
18 to pay in full the \$400.00 filing fee for this action;
- 19 4. Plaintiff is warned that failure to comply with this order will result in the dismissal
20 of this action without prejudice to refile upon prepayment of the filing fee; and
- 21 5. The matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further
22 proceedings consistent with this order.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: April 18, 2018

25 
26 _____
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28