

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAMEON D. HAM,
Plaintiff,
v.
CDCR, et al.,
Defendant.

1:17-cv-01435 LJO-JLT (PC)

**FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO
DISMISS ACTION**

FOURTEEN-DAY DEADLINE

The Court served an order to plaintiff at his address of record. It was returned by the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires him to inform the Court of any address change. More than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and plaintiff has failed to notify the Court of a current address. Accordingly, the Court **RECOMMENDS** that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings

1 and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen
2 days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7

Dated: March 12, 2019

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28