

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MICHELLE RENEE ROSS,	)	Case No.: 1:17-cv-01439 - JLT
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S REQUEST
	)	FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	
NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	)	(Doc. 17)
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	)	

On June 8, 2018, the parties filed a stipulation for Plaintiff to have a 45-day extension of time to file an opening brief. (Doc. 17) The Scheduling Order allows for a single extension of thirty days by the stipulation of the parties (Doc. 5 at 4).

Beyond the single extension of thirty days, “requests to modify [the scheduling] order must be made by written motion and will be granted only for good cause.” (Doc. 5 at 4) Accordingly, the Court construes the stipulation of the parties to be a motion to amend the Scheduling Order. The parties report “there is good cause for the request for forty-five (45) days instead of thirty (30),” because “the parties are in discussion regarding settlement of this case.” (Doc. 17 at 1-2) The parties assert “delaying substantive briefing in this matter is appropriate in the event that a resolution can be reached.” (*Id.* at 2) Accordingly, the parties request the deadline be extended from June 8, 2018, to July 23, 2018. (*Id.*)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Based upon the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 1. Plaintiff's request for an extension of time is **GRANTED**; and
- 2. Plaintiff **SHALL** file an opening brief no later than **July 23, 2018**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 11, 2018

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE