| - 1 | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | ENRIQUE HUAPAYA, |) Case No. 1:17-cv-01441-DAD-SAB (PC) |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL |
| 11 | V. | |
| 12 | D. DAVEY, et al., |)) |
| 13 | Defendants. |)) |
| 14 | |) |
| 15 | Plaintiff Enrique Huapaya is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action | |
| 16 | pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. | |
| 17 | On September 18, 2019, the Court held a telephonic hearing and heard argument by defense | |
| 18 | counsel, Lucas Hennes and Plaintiff regarding Plaintiff's refusal to answer certain questions at his | |
| 19 | scheduled deposition. The Court considered Plaintiff's arguments in relation to any privilege and/or | |
| 20 | request for protective order, but granted Defendants' oral motion to compel and ordered Plaintiff to | |
| 21 | answer <i>all</i> questions at the deposition, subject to any applicable privilege objection. | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | SIR |
| 24 | Dated: September 18, 2019 | July N. Lase |
| 25 | | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |