	Matthew D. Owdom OWDOM LAW FIRM 627 N. Encina	
1	Visalia, CA 93291	
2	Telephone: (559) 733-6263 Facsimile: (559) 737-4319	
3	E-mail: matt@owdomlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff	
4	DEANNE H. PETERSON, #147099	
5	County Counsel for the County of Tulare	
6	KATHLEEN A. TAYLOR, #131100 Chief Deputy County Counsel	
-	AMY TERRIBLE MYERS, #269475	
7	Deputy County Counsel	
8	2900 West Burrel Avenue	
9	Visalia, California 93291 Telephone: (559) 636-4950	
5	Facsimile: (559) 737-4319	
10	E-mail: amyers@co.tulare.ca.us	
11	Attorneys for Defendants County of Tulare and	
13	Tulare County Sheriff's Department	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
13 14	UNITED STATES DI EASTERN DISTRICT OF C.	
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG
14 15	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA,	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO
14 15 16 17	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA,	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING
14 15 16	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs.	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST
14 15 16 17	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF TULARE, a governmental entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a governmental	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST
14 15 16 17 18	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF TULARE, a governmental entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a governmental entity, Unknown TULARE COUNTY	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST
14 15 16 17 18 19	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF TULARE, a governmental entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a governmental	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF TULARE, a governmental entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a governmental entity, Unknown TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES named herein, as Does	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF TULARE, a governmental entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a governmental entity, Unknown TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES named herein, as Does 1-50; and ROES 1-50, inclusive, Defendants.	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; ORDER
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF TULARE, a governmental entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a governmental entity, Unknown TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES named herein, as Does 1-50; and ROES 1-50, inclusive,	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; ORDER
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF TULARE, a governmental entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a governmental entity, Unknown TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES named herein, as Does 1-50; and ROES 1-50, inclusive, Defendants.	ALIFORNIA - FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; ORDER
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C. RAMIRO HUERTA, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF TULARE, a governmental entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a governmental entity, Unknown TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES named herein, as Does 1-50; and ROES 1-50, inclusive, Defendants. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and betwe	ALIFORNIA – FRESNO Case Number: 1:17-cv-01446-DAD-EPG STIPULATION GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; ORDER en Plaintiff, Ramiro Huerta ("Plaintiff"), and al entity; TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF, a

	Does 1-50, (collectively "Defendants," and together with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), the parties hereto,		
	through their respective attorneys of record, that Plaintiff may file a First Amended Complaint, a copy		
1	of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." This amendment will not modify any date or deadline fixed		
2 3	by the Court's Scheduling Order dated January 30, 2018, and is not prejudicial to Defendants, the		
4	product of undue delay, proposed in bad faith, or futile.		
5	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that Plaintiff will file his First Amended Complaint within		
6	five (5) calendar days of the Court's Order permitting such filing, and that Defendants' response		
7	thereto shall be filed within twenty-one (21) days after the First Amended Complaint is filed.		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
9			
10 11	Dated: February 1, 2018 TILLA	RE COUNTY COUNSEL	
12			
13	³ /s/ Am	y T. Myers	
14			
15		errible Myers	
16	6	eys for Defendants	
17	7		
18 19		OM LAW FIRM	
20			
21	1 /s/ Ma	tthew Owdom	
22	2	ew D. Owdom	
23	3		
24		ey for Plaintiff	
25	5		
26			
27	2		
	2		

1	OPDEP		
2	ORDER		
3	The Parties having so stipulated and good cause appearing,		
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file his First Amended Complaint within five		
5	(5) calendar days of the date this Order is filed.		
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' response thereto shall be filed within		
7	twenty-one (21) days after the First Amended Complaint is filed.		
8			
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10			
11	Dated: February 2, 2018 /s/ Encir P. Story		
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
18			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	3		