1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIAM J. GRADFORD,	No. 1:17-cv-01460-DAD-GSA
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	K. LIGNOSKI,	(Doc. No. 17)
15	Defendant.	(DOC. NO. 17)
16		
17	Plaintiff William J. Gradford is a prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with	
18	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States	
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On August 20, 2018, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,	
21	recommending that this action proceed only against defendant Lignoski for interference with	
22	plaintiff's mail in violation of the Sixth Amendment, and that all other claims be dismissed from	
23	this action based on plaintiff's failure to state a claim. (Doc. No. 17.) The findings and	
24	recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were	
25	to be filed within fourteen days. (Id. at 2.) On August 27, 2018, plaintiff filed a statement of	
26	non-opposition to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. No. 18.)	
27	/////	
28	/////	
		1

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 20, 2018 (Doc. No. 17) are adopted in full; 2. This action now proceeds against defendant Deputy K. Lignoski for interference with plaintiff's mail in violation of the Sixth Amendment; 3. All remaining claims are dismissed from this action; and 4. This case is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings, including initiation of service of process. IT IS SO ORDERED. **November 6, 2018** Dated: