1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

LISA LEANNE CLAUSEN,

v.

scheduling order.

Plaintiff,

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

/// 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO FILE COPY OF ADMINISTRATIVE

RECORD WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS

Case No. 1:17-cv-01484-SAB

On November 3, 2017, Plaintiff filed the present action in this court seeking review of the Commissioner's denial of an application for benefits. (ECF No. 1.) On November 7, 2017, the Court issued a scheduling order. (ECF No. 75). The scheduling order states that within 120 days after service, Defendant shall file and serve a copy of the administrative record which shall be deemed an answer to the complaint. On November 27, 2017, proof of service was returned showing that Defendant was served by certified mail on November 16, 2017. (ECF No. 8.) Defendant has not filed the administrative record in compliance with the November 7, 2017

Local Rule 110 provides that "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." The Court has the inherent power to control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. 2. an including an entry of default. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 20, 2018

- Within fourteen (14) days from the date of service of this order, Defendant shall file and serve a copy of the administrative record; and
- Failure to respond to this order could result in the imposition of sanctions, up to

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE