

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH ROBINSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

R. CASTELLON, et al.,

Defendants.

1:17-cv-01540-GSA (PC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

II. VENUE

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

///

1 In this case, none of the defendants named in the Complaint reside in this district. The
2 claims arose at the Deuel Vocational Institution in Tracy, California, located in Alameda County,
3 which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, Plaintiff's claims are properly brought
4 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest of
5 justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district.
6 See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

7 This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

8 **III. CONCLUSION**

9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United
10 States District Court for the Northern District of California.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13 Dated: November 21, 2017

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE