| 1 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | MARTIN MCLAUGHLIN, | No. 1:17-cv-01597-DAD-JLT | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 13 | v. | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND | |
| 14 | J. CASTRO, et al., | RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING CERTAIN CLAIMS | |
| 15 | Defendants. | (Doc. No. 17) | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights | | |
| 19 | action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States | | |
| 20 | Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. | | |
| 21 | On June 20, 2019, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, | | |
| 22 | recommending that this action proceed only on the following claims: (1) a First Amendment | | |
| 23 | retaliation claim against defendants Riley, Magallanes, Castro, Perez, and DeAcevedo; (2) a | | |
| 24 | Fourteenth Amendment due process claim against defendant Amaya; and (3) a Fourteenth | | |
| 25 | Amendment equal protection claim against defendants Castro and Magallanes. (Doc. No. 17.) | | |
| 26 | The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any | | |
| 27 | objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen days after service. Thereafter, on July 8, 2019, | | |
| 28 | plaintiff filed a notice of voluntary dismissal of his Fourteenth Amendment due process claim, in | | |
| | | 1 | |

| 1 | which he also states that he wishes to proceed only on those claims found to be cognizable in the | | |
|----|--|--|---|
| 2 | findings and recommendations. (Doc. No. 19.) | | |
| 3 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this | | |
| 4 | court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the | | |
| 5 | court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. | | |
| 6 | Accordingly, | | |
| 7 | 1. | The findings and recommendations issued on June 20, 2019 (Doc. No. 17) are | |
| 8 | | adopted in full; | |
| 9 | 2. | This action shall proceed o | on a First Amendment retaliation claim against |
| 10 | | defendants Riley, Magallar | nes, Castro, Perez, and DeAcevedo, and a Fourteenth |
| 11 | Amendment equal protection claim against defendants Castro and Magallanes; | | |
| 12 | 3. | All other claims are hereby dismissed with prejudice; and | |
| 13 | 4. | This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings. | |
| 14 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 15 | Dated: | September 5, 2019 | Dale A. Drogd |
| 16 | | | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | 2 |
| | 1 | | 2 |