| 1 | | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | DAVID LEE BROCK, | CASE NO. 1:17-cv-01610-LJO JLT (PC) | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND GRANTING MOTION FOR RECEIPT VERIFICATION (Docs. 33, 35, 38) | |
| 13 | v. | | |
| 14 | TUOLUMNE COUNTY SHERIFF'S | | |
| 15 | OFFICE, et al., | | |
| 16 | Defendants. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | Plaintiff has filed a motion requesting document receipt verification and further | | |
| 19 | instruction regarding the status of his third amended complaint. The Court screened that pleading | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | extensions of time to submit documents to effectuate. Because it appears that plaintiff has timely | | |
| 22 | submitted the necessary documents and service has already been ordered (see Doc. 37), these | | |
| 23 | motions are denied. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS plaintiff's motion for receipt verification | | |
| 24 | and DENIES as moot plaintiff's motions for extension of time. | | |
| 25 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 26 | Dated: | /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston | |
| 27 | | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |

| 4 |
|-------------------|
| 1 2 |
| 2 3 |
| 3 4 |
| - 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| |