

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHRISTINE TAYLOR,)	Case No.: 1:17-cv-1669 - JLT
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF’S EX
)	PARTE REQUESTS FOR ELECTRONIC FILING
)	PERMISSION
ERIC HARDIN, et al.,)	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO DESIST
Defendants.)	ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT THE COURT
)	EXCEPT THROUGH NOTICED MOTION
)	

The Court has received several e-mails from Plaintiff that include both requests for permission to file electronically and documents that Plaintiff seeks to file. The Clerk’s Office has repeatedly informed Plaintiff that such communications are improper and rebuffed her efforts at communicating with the Court in that manner.

Pursuant to Local Rule 133, “Any person appearing pro se may not utilize electronic filing except with the permission of the assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge.” L.R. 133(b)(2). Instead, pro se parties—such as Plaintiff—must “file and serve paper documents as required by applicable Federal Rules of Civil or Criminal Procedure or by these Rule.” *Id.* As the Clerk’s Office has informed Plaintiff, such documents must be mailed to the following address:

Office of the Clerk
United States District Court
Eastern District of California
2500 Tulare Street, Room 1501
Fresno, CA 93721

1 Any pro se litigant seeking electronic filing permission may not simply e-mail a request for such
2 permission, but must follow the guidelines set forth by Local Rule 133(b)(3), which provides:
3 “Requests to use paper or electronic filing as exceptions from these Rules shall be submitted as
4 stipulations as provided in L.R. 143 or, if a stipulation cannot be had, as written motions setting out an
5 explanation of reasons for the exception.” Plaintiff has not complied with these Local Rules.

6 Based upon the foregoing, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 7 1. Plaintiff’s request for electronic filing permission is **DISREGARDED**;
- 8 2. Plaintiff is **DIRECTED** to file any motions, briefs, or requests, with the Office of the
9 Clerk at the United States District Court in Fresno, California;
- 10 3. **Plaintiff is advised that failure to follow the Local Rules and this Order—such as**
11 **further attempts at e-mailed communication directed to the Court—may result in**
12 **the imposition of sanctions pursuant to Local Rule 110.**

13
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: August 29, 2018

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE