28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences . . ." *United* 

///

States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012) ("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D.

authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. *Pitman*, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. *Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.*, 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001).

3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.
The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.

- 4. No later than January 30, 2019, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendants, by mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful settlement demand, which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate, not to exceed ten pages in length.
- 5. No later than February 20, 2019, Defendants SHALL respond, by telephone or in person, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer, which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. If settlement is achieved, defense counsel is to immediately inform the Courtroom Deputy of Magistrate Judge Thurston.
- 6. If settlement is not achieved informally, Defendants are directed to submit confidential settlement statements <u>no later than March 10, 2019</u> to the following email address: <u>jltorders@caed.uscourts.gov</u>. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement to the court at the above address so it arrives <u>no later than March 10, 2019</u>. The envelope shall be marked "Confidential Settlement Statement." Parties shall also file a "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement." (*See* Local Rule 270(d).)

Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time
of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon. The confidential settlement
statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the
following:

- a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- c. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
- d. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
- e. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement conference, including how much a party is willing to accept and/or willing to pay.
- f. If the parties intend to discuss the joint settlement of any other actions or claims not in this suit, give a brief description of each action or claim as set forth above, including case number(s) is applicable.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 14, 2019 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE