(PC) Sierra v	Spearman et al			
	Case 1:17-cv-01691-ADA-EPG	Document 109	Filed 02/22/23	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10				
11	FRANCISCO SIERRA,	Case No. 1:	17-cv-01691-AD	A-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER RI SETTLEM	E: SECOND MOT	TION FOR \$1,500
13	v.	(ECF No. 1		
14	J. CASTELLANOS,		,	
15	Defendant.			
16				
17 18				
10	Plaintiff Francisco Sierra, a state inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed			
20	this civil rights action on December 15, 2017. After the parties filed a stipulation of dismissal			
20	under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), the Court closed the case on January 30,			
21	2023. (ECF Nos. 104, 105).			
22	On February 7, 2023, Plaintiff filed a motion for \$1500 settlement, which the Court			
23	construed as alleging that Plaintiff expected to be paid \$1500 immediately following his			
25	settlement agreement but he has not yet been paid. (ECF No. 106). The Court issued an order			
26	on February 9, 2023, advising Plaintiff that settlement agreements involving the CDCR			
27	regularly provide for a delay between the finalization of the agreement and the issuance of the			
<i>_</i> ·	payment, and such was likely the situation here. (ECF No. 107). Thus, the Court denied the			

motion without prejudice to Plaintiff refiling his motion, along with evidence regarding the

28

timing of the payment, should he believe that Defendant has not paid the settlement money within the time provided in his settlement agreement.

This matter is now before the Court on Plaintiff's second motion for \$1500 settlement. (ECF No. 108). Plaintiff states that he was only provided one copy of the settlement agreement, which he filled out promptly and sent to defense counsel. He states that he reached the settlement for \$1500 at the January 13, 2023 pretrial conference, which was on the record. Plaintiff makes no specific request for relief, but the Court assumes that Plaintiff wants the Court to order Defendant to pay him \$1500 immediately. The Court will deny Plaintiff's second motion for \$1500 without prejudice.

Plaintiff still has not submitted any evidence about a specific agreement to pay him by a certain date. The Court suggests that Plaintiff contact defense counsel to try to obtain a copy of his settlement agreement, which he can then submit to the Court if he believes he has not been timely paid. As to the pretrial conference, the record does not show that Defendant agreed to pay Plaintiff \$1500 by any specific date. Rather, the parties were to further discuss settlement among themselves and advise the Court of the outcome of their discussions by February 1, 2023. (ECF No. 103).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

1. Plaintiff's second motion for \$1500 settlement (ECF No. 108) is denied without prejudice to the extent that Plaintiff requests relief regarding his settlement agreement. 2. Plaintiff may refile his motion if he believes that he has not been paid within the time period provided under his settlement agreement. However, any such motion must include a copy of the settlement or other evidence regarding the specific timing of the payment.

Dated: February 22, 2023

Isl Encir P. Strong-UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1

2

IT IS SO ORDERED.