## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 1:17-cv-01691-DAD-EPG FRANCISCO SIERRA, 12 Plaintiff, ORDER REFERRING CASE TO SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE BEFORE A MAGISTRATE 13 v. **JUDGE** 14 M.E. SPEARMAN, et al., ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO SERVE 15 SUPERVISING DEPUTY ATTORNEY Defendants. GENERAL CHRISTOPHER BECKER WITH A 16 COPY OF: 1) PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT (ECF NO. 16.); 2) THE 17 SCREENING ORDER (ECF NOS. 19 & 25.); AND 3) THIS ORDER 18 19 (ECF NO. 2) 20 21 Francisco Sierra ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis 22 in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C § 1983. The Court has screened Plaintiff's 23 complaint and found it states cognizable claims. At least one defendant has waived service. 24 The Court now directs the parties to participate in a settlement conference before a 25 Magistrate Judge to see if the case can reach a settlement before going further in litigation. 26 Plaintiff will be transported to the settlement conference and defense counsel will appear in 27 person. Neither side is waiving any claims, defenses or objections by participating in this

28

settlement conference.

If defense counsel believes this case is not suitable for an early settlement conference, the Court will vacate the conference and proceed directly to litigation. Defense counsel is directed to consider Plaintiff's claim(s) and speak with Plaintiff following this order. If, after investigating Plaintiff's claim(s) and speaking with Plaintiff, and conferring with defense counsel's supervisor, defense counsel in good faith finds that a settlement conference would be unproductive, defense counsel may opt out of this settlement conference by filing a notice with the Court.

If defense counsel does not opt out of the settlement conference, the Court will issue separate order(s) setting the settlement conference and detailing the pre-settlement conference procedures in due course.

Two weeks after the issuance of the order setting the settlement conference, in order to better inform the parties and have a meaningful conference, the Court is requiring that both parties disclose certain documents to each other about the case, as listed below. These documents are relevant to the case and will most likely be disclosed in discovery shortly if this case goes to litigation.<sup>1</sup>

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Within thirty days from the date of service of this order, the assigned Deputy Attorney General shall either: (1) file a notice that Defendants want to opt out of the settlement conference; or (2) contact ADR Coordinator Sujean Park (<a href="mailto:spark@caed.uscourts.gov">spark@caed.uscourts.gov</a>) to schedule the settlement conference.<sup>2</sup>
- 2. If a settlement conference is set, no later than two weeks after the date of service of the order setting the settlement conference, each party shall send the other parties, or their attorneys (if represented), the documents listed below. The parties only need to provide documents related to the claim(s) that the Court allowed past the screening

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If any party has a legal objection to providing a particular document, that party shall file and serve a notice describing its objection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Court may issue a separate issue setting a scheduling conference and ordering initial disclosures or may already have done so. If difficulties arise in scheduling the settlement conference prior to the initial scheduling conference, the parties may file a request for the Court to continue the initial scheduling conference.

28