1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
5			
6 7	STEVEN DEON TURNER, JR.,	Case No. 1:17-cv-01737-AWI-JDP	
7 8	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT PLAINTIFF	
9	v.	PROCEED ON COGNIZABLE CLAIMS AND THAT NON-COGNIZABLE CLAIMS BE	
10	WILLIAM J. SULLIVAN, et al.,	DISMISSED WITH LEAVE TO AMEND (ECF No. 16.)	
11	Defendants.	(Let 110. 10.)	
12			
13	Plaintiff Steven Deon Turner, Jr., is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in this		
14	civil rights action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States		
15	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
16	On September 11, 2016, findings and recommendations were entered. (ECF No. 16.) The		
17	Magistrate Judge found that plaintiff has stated claims against defendants Busby, Johnson, Gray,		
18 19	and Gomez for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment and for conspiracy, ¹ but that		
20	Plaintiff failed to state claims against defendants Sullivan, Burgess, and Butler. (ECF No. 16.)		
20	The Magistrate Judge recommended that claims against these defendants be dismissed with leave		
22	to amend. (<u>Id.</u>)		
23	Plaintiff file objections to the findings and recommendations on September 24, 2018.		
24	(ECF No. 24) Plaintiff also lodged a first amended complaint the same day. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. $\& 636$ (b)(1)(P) and Local Pule 304, this		
25	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the		
26			
27			
28	^{1} The Magistrate Judge authorized service of the complaint as to these defendants. (ECF No. 17.)		
	1		
	1		

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on September	
3	11, 2016 (ECF No. 16) are adopted in full;	
4	2. This action now proceeds on the following two sets of claims:	
5	a. claims against defendants Busby, Johnson, Gray and Gomez for retaliation in	
6	violation of the First Amendment;	
7	b. claims against defendants Busby, Johnson, Gray and Gomez for conspiracy to	
8	violate plaintiff's constitutional rights;	
9	3. Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Sullivan for unconstitutional conditions of	
10	confinement and the claims against Defendants Burgess and Butler are dismissed	
11	without prejudice;	
12	4. Plaintiff's lodged proposed First Amended Complaint is considered FILED as of the	
13	date of this order;	
14	5. This case is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings, including	
15	the screening of the First Amended Complaint.	
16		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	Dated: October 18, 2018 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		