2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 CLAUDE CARR, Case No. 1:17-cv-01769-DAD-SAB (PC) Plaintiff, 12 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL AS MOOT 13 v. (ECF No. 71) TED PRUITT. 14 15 Defendant. 16 Plaintiff Claude Carr is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action 17 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 18 19 This action is proceeding against Defendant Pruitt for deliberate indifference in violation of the Eighth Amendment. The discovery and scheduling order issued in this case on May 23, 2019. (ECF 20 No. 30.) After an unsuccessful settlement conference, the Court issued an amended discovery and 21 scheduling order on September 4, 2019. (ECF No. 46.) 22 23 Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to compel, filed October 23, 2020. (ECF No. 24 71.) Defendant filed an opposition on November 13, 2020. (ECF No. 72.) The Court deems 25 Plaintiff's motion suitable for review without the filing of a reply by Plaintiff. Local Rule 230(1). Plaintiff moves to compel Defendant Pruitt to conduct his deposition within thirty days of the 26 27 Court's order granting Defendant's third motion to extend the discovery and dispositive motion deadlines. (ECF No. 71 at 1, 3.) 28

1

Defendant submits that Plaintiff's deposition was conducted on November 12, 2020. (ECF No. 71 at 2, Ex. A.) Consequently, Plaintiff's motion to compel the taking of his deposition is now moot and is denied on that basis.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **November 16, 2020**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Ly S. Be