1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	AURORA CERVANTES,	Case No. 1:18-cv-00001-LJO-SAB
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING CATHERINE M. CORFEE TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
10	v.	SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO APPEAR
11	ASJS INVESTMENTS, LLC, et al.,	THREE DAY DEADLINE
12	Defendants.	I HKEE DAY DEADLINE
13		
14	On December 29, 2017, Plaintiff Aurora Cervantes filed this action alleging violations of	
15	the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and state law. (ECF No. 1.) On January 2, 2018, an	
16	order issued setting the mandatory scheduling conference for April 2, 2018, at 1:30 p.m. (ECF	
17	No. 2.) Approximately thirty minutes prior to the April 2, 2018 hearing the courtroom deputy	
18	reached out to Catherine Corfee, counsel for Defendant, and provided her with the telephone	
19	number and passcode to appear telephonically at the scheduling conference. Counsel Daniel	
20	Malakauskas appeared telephonically for Plaintiff; however counsel for Defendant did not	
21	appear. The parties were previously late in filing their joint scheduling report filed last week,	
22	despite efforts by the courtroom deputy to inquire of the parties.	
23	The Local Rules of the Eastern District of California ("L.R.") provide that "[f]ailure of	
24	counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds	
25	for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the	
26	inherent power of the Court." L.R. 110. The Court has the inherent power to control its docket	
27	and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal	
28	of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000).	

I

the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within three days from the date order, Catherine M. Corfee shall show cause in writing why monetary sanctions posed for the failure to appear at the mandatory scheduling conference. Counsel at the failure to comply with this order will result in the imposition of sanctions, de the entry of default.

A. Ka

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1	Based on the forego
2	of entry of this order, Cathe
3	should not be imposed for the
4	is forewarned that the failur
5	which may include the entry
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: April 2, 2018
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	