

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PHILLIP BONNETTE and LINDA FAYE
GRANT-JONES,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LELAND ROSS DICK, *et al*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-0046-DAD-BAM

**ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO
PROCEED WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF
FEES OR COSTS**

(ECF. Nos. 6, 7)

Plaintiffs Phillip Bonnette and Linda Faye Grant-Jones are proceeding pro se and have requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to Title 28 of the United States Code section 1915(a). (ECF Nos. 6, 7). Plaintiffs have made the showing required by section 1915(a), and accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

Plaintiffs are advised that the Court screens the complaints of pro se litigants proceeding in forma pauperis pursuant to Title 28 of the United States Code section 1915(e)(2). The Court must dismiss a complaint if the litigant has raised claims that are legally “frivolous or malicious,” that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant(s) who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). As a result, summonses will not issue at this time. The Court will direct the United States Marshal to serve Plaintiffs’ complaint only after the Court has screened the complaint and determined that it contains

1 cognizable claims for relief against the named defendants. The Court has a large number of such
2 cases pending before it and will screen Plaintiffs' complaint in due course.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: June 12, 2018

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28