1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EARNEST S. HARRIS,	No. 1:18-cv-0080 KJM DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	SEXTON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C.	
18	§1983. Plaintiff alleges defendants' use of the Guard One security check system violated his	
19	Eighth Amendment rights. On July 5, 2023, this court ordered plaintiff to file a renewed motion	
20	to amend his second amended complaint within thirty days. Thirty days have passed and plaintiff	
21	has not filed a renewed motion or otherwise responded to the court's July 5 order. Since plaintiff	
22	has failed to comply with the court's order despite ample opportunity to do so, this court will not	
23	further delay these proceedings. The case will proceed on plaintiff's second amended complaint	
24	filed February 2, 2019 (ECF No. 42), as supplemented with plaintiff's Eighth and First	
25	Amendment claims against defendant Flores (see Jan. 17, 2023 Order (ECF No. 100)).	
26	////	
27	////	
28	////	
		1

1	It does not appear that defendant Flores has filed a response to the second amended	
2	complaint, as supplemented. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within 30 days of the	
3	date of this order, defendant Flores shall file a response to the second amended complaint.	
4	Dated: September 8, 2023	
5	turns	
6		
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21	DLB:9 DB Prisoner Inbox/Civil Rights/R/harr0080.flores ans	
22 23		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20 27		
28		
_ 0	2	