

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED AFRICAN-ASIAN ABILITIES CLUB, et al.,  
  
                                Plaintiffs,  
  
                                v.  
  
CEDAR OAKS APARTMENTS L.P., et al.,  
  
                                Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00082 LJO JLT

**ORDER TO THE PLAINTIFFS TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR THEIR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE THIS ACTION AND TO OBEY THE COURT’S ORDERS**

The plaintiffs filed this action on January 18, 2018.<sup>1</sup> (Doc. 1) The same day, the Court issued the summonses (Docs. 2, 3) and its order setting the mandatory scheduling conference to occur on April 18, 2018. (Doc. 4) In its order setting the mandatory scheduling conference, the Court advised counsel:

The Court is unable to conduct a scheduling conference until defendants have been served with the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff(s) shall diligently pursue service of summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against whom plaintiff(s) will not pursue claims. Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of service of the summons and complaint so the Court has a record of service. Counsel are referred to F.R.Civ.P., Rule 4 regarding the requirement of timely service of the complaint. Failure to timely serve summons and complaint may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.

(Doc. 4 at 1-2, emphasis added) In addition, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides,  
  
If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court--on

---

<sup>1</sup> The plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint on February 15, 2018. (Doc. 5)

1 motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff--must dismiss the action without  
2 prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified  
3 time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the  
time for service for an appropriate period. This subdivision (m) does not apply to  
service in a foreign country under Rule 4(f), 4(h)(2), or 4(j)(1).

4 Nevertheless, Plaintiff has not filed a proof of service of the summons and complaint as to any  
5 defendant. Therefore, the Court **ORDERS**,

6 1. **No later than April 12, 2018**, the plaintiff **SHALL** show cause why sanctions  
7 should not be imposed for the failure to serve and file proofs of service on the defendants.  
8 Alternatively, the plaintiff may file proofs of service;

9 2. Due the failure of the plaintiff to serve the summons and complaint, the scheduling  
10 conference, currently set on April 18, 2018 is **CONTINUED** to **May 25, 2018** at 8:30 a.m.

11 **The plaintiffs are reminded of the service obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4.**  
12 **Failure to comply may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of**  
13 **unserved defendants.**

14  
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: **April 5, 2018**

**/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28