1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NATHANIEL MARCUS GANN,	Case No. 1:18-cv-0084-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS
13	v.	ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
14	VERA-BROWN,	TWENTY-ONE (21) DAY DEADLINE
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Nathaniel Marcus Gann ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this	
18	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on Plaintiff's second	
19	amended complaint against Defendant Vera-Brown ("Defendant") for deliberate indifference to	
20	serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment. All parties have consented to	
21	Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. (ECF No. 52.)	
22	On September 29, 2022, the Court issued an order granting Defendant's motion to vacate	
23	scheduling order, pending resolution of Defendant's motion for summary judgment on the ground	
24	that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to filing suit. (ECF No. 69.) On	
25	October 17, 2022, the Court's order was returned "Undeliverable, Out to Court." That order was	
26	mailed to Plaintiff's current address of record, which has not been changed since September 24,	
27	2021. (ECF No. 47.)	
28	///	
		1

1	Pursuant to Local Rules 182 and 183, a pro se party is under a continuing duty to notify	
2	the Clerk, the Court and all other parties of any change of address or telephone number. Local	
3	Rules 182(f), 183(b). Additionally, Local Rule 110 provides that "[f]ailure of a party to	
4	comply with these [Local] Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by	
5	the Court of any and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court." Further, the failure	
6	of Plaintiff to prosecute this action is grounds for dismissal. In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA)	
7	Products Liability Litigation, 460 F.3d 1217 (9th Cir. 2006).	
8	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within	
9	twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of this order, why this action should not be	
10	dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff can comply with this order to	
11	show cause by filing a notice informing the Court of his current address. The failure to respond	
12	to this order will result in dismissal of this action, without prejudice, for failure to	
13	prosecute.	
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated: December 23, 2022 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
17	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	