

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVE ROCKY NICKLAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

W. KOKOR and MS. MATA,

Defendants.

Plaintiff is proceeding with counsel appointed for the limited purpose of settlement discussions in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson to conduct a settlement conference at the U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, in Courtroom #6, on May 16, 2019, at 10:00 a.m. The Court will issue the necessary transportation order in due course.

Because the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR") is required to apply settlement funds to cover Plaintiff's restitution obligations, if any, Plaintiff is advised that the CDCR will be ordered to provide the Office of the Attorney General with copies of Plaintiff's inmate statement reports.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. A settlement conference is set for May 16, 2019, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom #6,

- before Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson at the U. S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721.
- 2. Defendants' lead counsel and a person with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement on Defendants' behalf shall attend in person.¹
- 3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses, and damages at issue in this case. The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.
- 4. Parties are directed to submit confidential settlement statements no later than May 9, 2019, to jdporders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement Attn: Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson, Yosemite Federal Courthouse, P.O. Box 575, Yosemite, CA, 95389. Parties are also directed to file a "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Conference Statement." (See Local Rule 270(d)). Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of Court nor served on any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
- 5. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
 - a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...." United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012) ("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

| 1 | b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon |
|----|---|
| 2 | which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of |
| 3 | prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in |
| 4 | dispute. |
| 5 | c. A summary of the proceedings to date. |
| 6 | d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and |
| 7 | trial. |
| 8 | e. The relief sought. |
| 9 | f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a |
| 10 | history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands. |
| 11 | g. A brief statement of the party's expectations and goals for the settlement |
| 12 | conference, including how much the party is willing to accept and/or willing to |
| 13 | pay. |
| 14 | h. If the parties intend to discuss the joint settlement of any other actions or claims |
| 15 | not in this suit, a brief description of each action or claim as set forth above, |
| 16 | including case number(s) if applicable. |
| 17 | 6. The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation shall provide to the |
| 18 | Office of the Attorney General copies of Plaintiff's inmate statement reports. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 21 | Dated: March 4, 2019 /s/ Encir P. Shoring |
| 22 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| | |