

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
5

6 MARK SHANE THOMPSON,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 A. GOMEZ, et al.,

10 Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00125-SAB (PC)

ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS'  
OBJECTIONS TO THE PRETRIAL ORDER  
AND **AMENDMENT** TO PRETRIAL  
ORDER

(ECF No. 128)

11  
12 Plaintiff Mark Shane Thompson is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil  
13 rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

14 On September 7, 2022, the Court issued the pretrial order in this action. (ECF No. 125.)

15 On September 13, 2022, Defendants filed objections to the pretrial order. (ECF No. 128.)

16 Defendants seek to clarify that they do not intend to call correctional officer Adrien  
17 Smith-Walker at trial because the witness is not available. Defendants also seek to clarify that  
18 they are not required to file the proposed exhibits with the Court. Defendants' objections are  
19 sustained.

20 On the basis of good cause, the Court's September 7, 2022, pretrial order is AMENDED  
21 to reflect that correctional officer Adrien Smith-Walker is omitted from the list of Defendants'  
22 proposed witnesses, and the parties are not required to file the proposed exhibits with the Court.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24  
25 Dated: September 14, 2022

26  
27  
28  
  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE