1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARK SHANE THOMPSON,) Case No.: 1:18-cv-00125-LJO-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
13	v.	DEFENDANT RODRIGUEZ SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF
14	A. GOMEZ, et al.,) CIVIL PROCEDURE 4(M)
15	Defendants.) [ECF No. 19]
16		ý)
17	Plaintiff Mark Shane Thompson is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	This action is proceeding on Plaintiff's claim against Defendants A. Gomez, E. Weiss, Y.	
20	Sazo, C. Gray, J. Busby, Rodriguez, and J. Doe Nos 1 and 2 for excessive force.	
21	The United States Marshal was not able to locate or identify Defendant Rodriguez, and service	
22	was returned un-executed on April 30, 2018. The following information was provided by the Marshal	
23	in the remarks of the unexecuted summons: "The CDC is unable to identify a Rodriguez working at	
24	the facility during the time specified." (ECF No. 16.)	
25	On May 8, 2018, the Court issued an order for Plaintiff to show cause why Defendant	
26	Rodriguez should not be dismissed pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
27	(ECF No. 17.) On May 24, 2018, the Court granted Plaintiff thirty additional days to provide	
28	additional information for service on Defendant Rodriguez. (ECF No. 20.)	
		1

1	On May 23, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting the Court to "show proof that Phantom	
2	Defendants Romero, Swanson, and M. Pomoa exist within Plaintiff's complaint." (ECF No. 19.)	
3	Due to an administrative error, the Court's May 8, 2018 order is HEREBY AMENDED to	
4	delete any and all reference to Defendants Romero, Swanson, and M. Pomoa as it relates to only	
5	Defendant Rodriguez.	
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: May 25, 2018	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	