1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	VERTICAL TANK, INC.,	Case No.: 1:18-cv-00145 LJO LJT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING JOINT REQUEST TO AMEND THE CASE SCHEDULE
13	v.	(Doc. 50)
14	BAKERCORP,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	The Court has reviewed the status report filed by counsel. (Doc. 50) The Court agrees that	
18	due to the delays caused by ongoing discovery disputes require the case schedule to be amended.	
19	Thus, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> the case schedule amended as follows:	
20	1. The parties <b>SHALL</b> complete	all non-expert discovery no later than September 27,
21	<u>2019;</u>	
22	2. The parties <b>SHALL</b> disclose their experts <u>no later than October 8, 2019</u> and any	
23	rebuttal experts <u>no later than November 6, 2019</u> . They SHALL complete all expert discovery <u>no</u>	
24	later than December 7, 2019 <sup>1</sup> ;	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28		
	<sup>1</sup> No other amendments to the case schedule are authorized at this time.	

1	3. The parties <b>SHALL</b> submit proposed dates for a settlement conference to occur by	
2	September 30, 2019. In their joint submission, they SHALL indicate whether they wish the	
3	settlement conference to be conducted by a magistrate judge not assigned to this case. <sup>2</sup>	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: July 31, 2019 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	<sup>2</sup> The Court understands that they may prefer a different judge to handle the settlement conference and they are	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Court understands that they may prefer a different judge to handle the settlement conference and they are encouraged to act in accordance with their preferences. However, they should be aware that this may frustrate the Court's ability to schedule the conference within the agreed-upon period.