1			
1 2			
2			
3 4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	CHRIS E. VELASQUEZ,	No. 1:18-cv-00159-SKO	
8	Plaintiff,		
0 9	v.	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE CASE	
10	NANCY A. BERRYHILL, ACTING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	(Doc. 13)	
11	Defendant.		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	On August 29, 2018, the parties filed a joint stipulation of dismissal, with prejudice. (Doc.		
17	13.) Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A) provides:		
18	(i) a potice of dismissed before the approxime party serves either ap		
19			
20			
21	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). Rule 41 thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after		
22	service of an answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties who have		
23	appeared, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. See Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d		
24	1470, 1472–73 (9th Cir. 1986).		
25	Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in		
26	open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Case law concerning		
27	stipulated dismissals under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of		
28	dismissal is effective automatically and does not require judicial approval. Commercial Space		

1	Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir. 1999).		
2	Because the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under		
3	Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) signed by all of the parties who have made an appearance, this case has		
4	terminated. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the		
5	Clerk of the Court close this case.		
6			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8	Dated: August 30, 2018 [s] Sheila K. Oberto		
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25		1	
26		[
27		1	
28		[
		I	