1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 WILLIS MARK HAYNES, No. 1:18-cv-00178-JLT (HC) 12 Petitioner. ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 13 v. ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT 14 ANDRE MATEVOUSIAN, TO CLOSE CASE AND TO PROVIDE PETITIONER WITH BLANK FORMS FOR 15 Respondent. BIVENS ACTION 16 17 On February 5, 2018, Petitioner filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus. (Doc. 1.) Upon 18 review of the petition, the Court found that Petitioner failed to establish grounds for habeas 19 corpus relief, and that the proper avenue for his complaints is a Bivens action pursuant to Bivens 20 v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). On 21 February 8, 2018, the Court issued Findings and Recommendations to DISMISS the petition 22 without prejudice to filing a <u>Bivens</u> action. (Doc. 4.) This Findings and Recommendation was 23 served upon all parties and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within twenty-24 one days from the date of service of that order. Over twenty-one days have passed and no party has filed objections. 25 26 After the Findings and Recommendations were issued, the parties consented to Magistrate 27 Judge jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1). On March 8, 2018, the District Court 28 assigned the action to the undersigned for all further purposes and proceedings. (Doc. 9.) 1

| 1  | Accordingly, the Court will DISMISS the petition for the reasons set forth in the Findings and        |
|----|---|
| 2  | Recommendations. Thus, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :  |
| 3  | 1) The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DISMISSED without prejudice to Petitioner                |
| 4  | commencing a <u>Bivens</u> action;  |
| 5  | 2) The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to provide Petitioner with blank forms for filing a                 |
| 6  | Bivens action;  |
| 7  | 3) The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to enter judgment and close the case; and                           |
| 8  | 4) In the event an appeal is filed, no certificate of appealability is required. <u>Forde v. U.S.</u> |
| 9  | Parole Commission, 114 F.3d 878 (9th Cir. 1997).  |
| 10 |   |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 12 | Dated: March 13, 2018 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE                         |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |