1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 FRANCISCO ALANIZ, et al., Case No.: 1:18-cy-00205 LJO JLT 12 Plaintiffs. ORDER TO PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR 13 v. THE FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS AND TO PROSECUTE THIS 14 EQUISOLAR, INC., ACTION; ORDER CONTINUING SCHEDULING 15 Defendants. **CONFERENCE** 16 17 On February 8, 2018, the plaintiff initiated this action for declaratory relief. (Doc. 1) The next 18 day, the Court issued the summonses (Doc. 2) and its order setting the mandatory scheduling 19 conference to occur on May 7, 2018. (Doc. 3) In its order setting the mandatory scheduling conference, the Court advised counsel: 20 The Court is unable to conduct a scheduling conference until defendants have been served with 21 the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff(s) shall diligently pursue service of summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against whom plaintiff(s) will not 22 pursue claims. Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of service of the summons and complaint so the Court has a record of service. Counsel are referred to F.R.Civ.P., Rule 4 regarding the 23 requirement of timely service of the complaint. Failure to timely serve summons and complaint may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants. 24 (Doc. 3 at 1-2, emphasis added) Despite this, the plaintiffs have not filed proofs of service of the 25 26 summons and complaint and no defendant has appeared in the action. Therefore, the Court **ORDERS**, 27 No later than May 7, 2018, the plaintiffs SHALL show cause why sanctions should 1.

not be imposed for the failure to serve the summonses and complaint and file proofs of service.

28

1	Alternatively, the plaintiff may file proofs of service;
2	2. Due the failure of the defendant to appear and the lack of proofs of service which
3	would prevent entry of default, the scheduling conference is CONTINUED to June 25, 2018 at 8:15
4	a.m.
5	Plaintiff is reminded of the service obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Failure to comply
6	may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the Court sua sponte dismissing unserved
7	defendants.
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	Dated: April 27, 2018 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
26	
27	
28	
-	