

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL MADDEN, Successor-in-Interest to Ryan P. Madden, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HICKS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00255-ADA-BAM (PC)

ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS HICKS AND SILVA TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING

TWENTY-ONE (21) DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff Michael Madden and Kathleen (“Kathy”) Madden, as Successors-in-Interest to Ryan P. Madden (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) are proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Following the District Judge’s order adopting the findings and recommendations denying Defendants’ motion to dismiss, this action proceeds against Defendant Hicks for excessive force and assault and battery claims, and against Defendants Silva and Hicks for California Bane Act and retaliation claims. (ECF No. 54.)

Accordingly, Defendants Hicks and Silva shall file an answer to Plaintiffs’ complaint within **twenty-one (21) days** from the date of service of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 16, 2023

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE