

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL MADDEN, Successor-in-Interest to Ryan P. Madden, et al.,

Plaintiff.

v.

HICKS, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00255-ADA-BAM (PC)

ORDER REFERRING CASE TO POST-SCREENING ADR AND STAYING CASE

Hearing: Settlement Conference

Date: **June 12, 2023** Time: **11:00 a.m.**

Judge: Stanley A. Boone

Location: via Zoom Videoconference

Plaintiffs Michael Madden and Kathleen "Kathy" Madden ("Plaintiffs"), as Successors-in-Interest to Ryan P. Madden, are proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds against Defendant Hicks for excessive force and assault and battery claims, and against Defendants Silva and Hicks for California Bane Act and retaliation claims. Defendant Hicks and Silva have answered the complaint. (ECF No. 56.)

Because it takes years to get to trial, the Court has identified this case as an appropriate case for post-screening ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution), which is an effort to resolve such cases more expeditiously and less expensively. No claims, defenses, or objections shall be waived by the parties' participation. In appropriate cases, defense counsel from the California State Attorney General's Office has agreed to participate in these early settlements.

As set forth in the Court's screening order and order adopting findings and recommendations denying Defendants' motion to dismiss, this action states cognizable claims. But, stating cognizable

1

4

10

11

7

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

///

claims does not mean Plaintiffs will prevail at trial. Thus, the Court stays this action to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiffs' claims, meet and confer, and then participate in a settlement conference.

Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone to conduct a video settlement conference, via the Zoom videoconferencing application, on June 12, 2023, at 11:00 a.m.

Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants shall each contact Courtroom Deputy Victoria Gonzales at (559) 499-5672 or vgonzales@caed.uscourts.gov for the video and dial-in information, including any necessary passcodes.

In issuing this order, there is a presumption that this case will proceed to a settlement conference. However, if after investigating the relevant claims and defenses, conferring with the other party, and conferring with others, either party in good faith finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, the party may move to opt out of this early settlement conference. A written notice to opt out must be filed within **thirty** (30) days of the date of the issuance of this order.

If a party wishes to participate in a settlement conference but cannot attend due to a scheduling conflict or a lack of access to a device with videoconferencing capabilities and a reliable internet connection, they should so inform the Court and the other party, so the Court may determine if there are alternative dates or arrangements that can be made.

The parties shall each submit to Judge Boone a confidential settlement conference statement, as described below, to arrive at least seven days (one week) prior to the conference.

If the parties do not elect to opt out of the settlement conference, the parties shall be prepared to negotiate the merits of the case and offer more than a waiver of costs as a reasonable compromise to settle the case. The parties are also informed that an offer of dismissal in exchange for a waiver of costs is not considered good faith settlement negotiations.

¹ If the case does not settle, the Court will then lift the stay of its Discovery and Scheduling Order or issue one forthwith.

In light of the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak and the evolving coronavirus protocols, the Court

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- This action is STAYED to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the
 discovery process begins. Except as provided herein or by subsequent court order, no other
 pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay of this action. The
 parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but may engage in informal discovery to prepare
 for the settlement conference.
- 2. This case is set for a <u>video</u> settlement conference, <u>via the Zoom videoconferencing</u>

 <u>application</u>, before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone on **June 12, 2023 at 11:00 a.m.**
- 3. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement shall attend via the Zoom videoconferencing application.²
- 4. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages. The failure or refusal of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the cancellation of the conference and the imposition of sanctions.
- 5. Defendants shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email address: saborders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiffs may email or mail their confidential settlement statement to U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California 93721, "Attention: Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone." The envelope shall be marked "Confidential Settlement Statement." Settlement statements shall arrive no later than June 5, 2023. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement (See Local Rule 270(d)). Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "Confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
- 6. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
 - a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

may issue an order at a later date requiring the parties to appear in person.

- b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- c. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
- d. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
- e. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement conference, including how much a party is willing to accept and/or willing to pay.
- f. If parties intend to discuss the joint settlement of any other actions or claims not in this suit, give a brief description of each action or claim as set forth above, including case number(s) if applicable.
- 7. If a settlement is reached at any point during the stay of this action, the parties shall file a Notice of Settlement in accordance with Local Rule 160.
- 8. If a party wishes to "opt-out" of this settlement for the reasons stated above, the party must do so within **thirty (30) days** of this order by filing a "Notice of Opt-Out and Request to Vacate Settlement Conference."
- 9. The parties remain obligated to keep the Court informed of their current address at all times during the stay and while the action is pending. Any change of address must be reported promptly to the Court in a separate document captioned for this case and entitled "Notice of Change of Address." See Local Rule 182(f).
- 10. A failure to follow these procedures may result in the imposition of sanctions by the court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 6, 2023 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
LINITED STATES MAGISTRATE HIDGE