1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	T.G., by and through his next friend,	No. 1:18-cv-00257-DAD-JLT	
12	TANITA J.; P.P., by and through his general guardian, REBECCA P.; and J.A.,		
13	on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION AND JOINT REQUEST TO STAY CASE	
14	Plaintiffs,		
15	v.	(Doc. No. 10)	
16	KERN COUNTY; KERN COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT; TR		
17	MERICKEL, in his official capacity as Chief of the Probation Department; KERN		
18	COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS; and MARY C. BARLOW, in her official capacity as Superintendent of Schools,		
19			
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23	On March 8, 2018, counsel for plaintiffs T.G., P.P., and J.A., and counsel for defendants		
24	Kern County, Kern County Probation Department, TR Merickel, Kern County Superintendent of		
25	Schools, and Mary C. Barlow, filed a stipulation and joint request to stay all proceedings in this		
26	case while the parties engage in structured settlement negotiations. (Doc. No. 10.) The parties		
27	also requested an order: (1) vacating the currently scheduled Rule 16 scheduling conference, and		
28	excusing the parties from complying with the court's order setting a mandatory scheduling		

1	conference while this stay is in effect; (2) extending time for defendants to respond to plaintiffs'	
2	complaint until 60 days after the stay is lifted if negotiations are unsuccessful; and (3) scheduling	
3	regular dates for the parties to file a joint report, or attend status conference, to update the court	
4	on the progress of settlement efforts at 120-day intervals during the stay. (Id.) Finding good	
5	cause, the court grants the parties' joint motion and orders as follows:	
6	1. This action is temporarily stayed for all purposes while the parties engage in	
7	settlement negotiations;	
8	2. The scheduling conference currently set for May 23, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. before	
9	Magistrate Judge Jennifer Thurston is hereby vacated;	
10	3. While this stay is in effect, the parties are excused from complying with this	
11	court's order setting a mandatory scheduling conference (Doc. No. 4);	
12	4. While this stay is in effect, defendants are not required to file a responsive	
13	pleading until 60 days after the stay in this litigation is lifted; and	
14	5. The parties shall file a joint status report advising the court as to the status of the	
15	action and whether the stay should continue no later than July 10, 2018, and then	
16	every 120 days thereafter while this stay is in effect.	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	Dated: March 9, 2018 Dale A. Dagd	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	