1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LAMONT SHEPARD,	Case No. 1:18-cv-00277-DAD-HBK	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING PETITIONER'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT	
13	V.	(Doc. No. 21)	
14	M. BORUM, J. ACEBEDO,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17			
18	Plaintiff Lamont Shepard, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, initiated this action by filing		
19	a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on February 26, 2018. (Doc. No. 1). On October		
20	22, 2018, Shepard filed his first amended complaint. (Doc. No. 13). On March 4, 2019,		
21	Defendants filed an answer to the first amended complaint. (Doc. No. 19). On April 22, 2019,		
22	Plaintiff filed another complaint, which although not labeled as such, is considered a second		
23	amended complaint. <sup>1</sup> (Doc. No. 21). Plaintiff did not seek leave of Court to file a second		
24	amended complaint as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2). Therefore, to the		
25	extent Plaintiff intended the filing to be a second amended complaint, the pleading is procedurally		
26	improper. Consequently, the Court will order the improper pleading stricken from the record.		
27 28	<sup>1</sup> The second amended complaint names the same defendants and appears to raise the same facts and claims set forth in Plaintiff's first amended complaint.		

1	Accordingly, it is <b>ORDERED</b> :		
2	Plaintiff's second amended complaint (Doc. No. 21) is stricken from the record.		
3	3		
4	Dated: July 12, 2021 $\checkmark$	- elina Th. Barch - fuelte	
5 6	UNI	ENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA FED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
0 7			
8			
9			
10	0		
11	1		
12	2		
13	3		
14	4		
15	5		
16	6		
17	7		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27			
28			
	2		