1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	MYCHAL REED,	Case No. 1:18-cv-00297-AWI-EPG (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
13	D. MADSEN,	CONFERENCE
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Mychal Reed ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
17	this civil rights action. The Court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement	
18	conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe to	
19	conduct a settlement conference at the U. S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno,	
20	California, 93721, in Courtroom #8, on March 7, 2019, at 9:30 a.m.	
21	The Court will issue the necessary transportation order in due course.	
22	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
23	1. A settlement conference has been set for March 7, 2019, at 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom	
24	#8, before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe at the U. S. District Court, 2500	
25	Tulare Street, Fresno, California,	93721.
26	2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding	
27		
28		1

settlement shall attend in person.<sup>1</sup>

- 3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses, and damages at issue in this case. The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.
- 4. Each party shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email address: bamorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement Attn: Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe, U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721. The envelope shall be marked "Confidential Settlement Statement." Settlement statements shall arrive no later than February 28, 2019. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Conference Statement (see Local Rule 270(d)). Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
- 5. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
  - a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
  - b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of

¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...." <u>United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands</u>, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012) ("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u>, 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), <u>cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss</u>, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), <u>amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u>, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. <u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.</u>, 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001).

1	prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in	
2	dispute.	
3	c. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and	
4	trial.	
5	d. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a	
6	history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.	
7	e. A brief statement of the party's expectations and goals for the settlement	
8	conference, including how much the party is willing to accept and/or willing to	
9	pay.	
10	f. If the parties intend to discuss the joint settlement of any other actions or claims	
11	not in this suit, give a brief description of each action or claim as set forth above,	
12	including case number(s) if applicable.	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14		
15	Dated: December 20, 2018 /s/ Encir P. Strong	
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		