

1 SEYFARTH SHAW LLP  
Kristina M. Launey (SBN 221335)  
2 klauney@seyfarth.com  
Julie G. Yap (SBN 243450)  
3 jyap@seyfarth.com  
Christopher J. Truxler (SBN 282354)  
4 ctruxler@seyfarth.com  
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2350  
5 Sacramento, California 95814-4428  
Telephone: (916) 448-0159  
6 Facsimile: (916) 558-4839

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
WINCO HOLDINGS, INC.

8 WORKMAN LAW FIRM, PC  
9 Robin G. Workman (SBN 145810)  
robin@workmanlawpc.com  
10 Rachel E. Davey (SBN 316096)  
rachel@workmanlawpc.com  
11 177 Post Street, Suite 800  
San Francisco, CA 94018  
12 Telephone: (415) 782-3660  
Facsimile: (415) 788-1028

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
14 SHIRLEY JACO, on behalf of herself and all others  
others similarly situated  
15

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
17 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
18

19 SHIRLEY JACO on behalf of herself and all  
20 others similarly situated,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 WINCO HOLDINGS, INC. and Does 1  
through 50, inclusive.

24 Defendants.  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No. 1:18-CV-00301-DAD-EPG

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE RULE  
26(f) CONFERENCE & JUNE 27, 2018  
SCHEDULING CONFERENCE; ORDER**

1 Plaintiff SHIRLEY JACO (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant WINCO HOLDINGS, INC.  
2 (“Defendant”) (collectively, the “Parties”), by and through their respective attorneys, pursuant to Eastern  
3 District Local Rules 143 and 144, stipulate and agree that good cause exists to continue the Parties’ Rule  
4 26(f) Conference and the Court’s Scheduling Conference set for June 27, 2018, to dates following an  
5 order on Defendant’s Motion To Dismiss Complaint, Or In The Alternative, To Strike:

6 WHEREAS, Defendant filed a Motion To Dismiss Complaint, Or In The Alternative, To Strike  
7 on March 9, 2018, which has been fully briefed by the Parties;

8 WHEREAS, the Honorable Dale A. Drozd heard oral argument on Defendant’s Motion To  
9 Dismiss Complaint, Or In The Alternative, To Strike on May 1, 2015;

10 WHEREAS, the Court’s ruling on Defendant’s Motion To Dismiss Complaint, Or In The  
11 Alternative, To Strike may impact the claims at issue in the litigation, the scope of discovery, and  
12 scheduling of discovery and motions;

13 WHEREAS, in order to preserve time and resources, the Parties believe that their Rule 26 (f)  
14 conference should be deferred until after the Court’s ruling on the pending Motion To Dismiss  
15 Complaint, Or In The Alternative, To Strike;

16 WHEREAS, the Parties stipulate and agree to hold their Rule 26(f) conference within twenty-one  
17 (21) days of the issuance of the Court’s Order ruling on the pending Motion To Dismiss Complaint, Or  
18 In The Alternative, To Strike and to continue the Court’s Scheduling Conference.

19 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: June 11, 2018

Respectfully submitted,  
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

By:           /s/ Julie G. Yap  
Kristina M. Launey  
Julie G. Yap  
Christopher J. Truxler  
  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
WINCO HOLDINGS, INC.

DATED: June 11, 2018

Respectfully submitted,  
WORKMAN LAW FIRM, PC

By:           /s/ Robin G. Workman  
Robin G. Workman  
Rachel E. Davey  
  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
SHIRLEY JACO, on behalf of herself and all  
others similarly situated  
  
Attorney for Plaintiff agreed with the contents of  
this document and authorized the filer to use her  
electronic signature.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

Pursuant to the above stipulation, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the Rule 26(f) Initial Scheduling Conference currently set for June 27, 2018 is continued to August 29, 2018, at 10:00 AM.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 11, 2018

/s/ Eric P. Groj  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE